#### 1 3.17 UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the Project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the Project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the Project that it has adequate capacity to serve the Project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the Project's solid waste disposal needs?				
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?			$\boxtimes$	

## 2 **3.17.1 Environmental Setting**

- 3 The City is the nearest municipality to the Project pipeline corridor or onshore work
- 4 areas. The City provides residents with residential and commercial garbage, recycling,
- 5 and green waste collection and recycling service. Solid waste is generally hauled to the
- 6 Recycling Center and Transfer Station in Pittsburg located approximately 9 miles to the
- west of the Project. Sewer and wastewater treatment services are provided for the City
- 8 by the Ironhouse Sanitary District. The Diablo Water District provides water to the City
- 9 and surrounding areas. Electricity for the City is generally provided by PG&E.

#### 1 3.17.2 Regulatory Setting

- 2 3.17.2.1 Federal and State
- 3 There are no applicable Federal or State laws/regulations pertaining to utilities and
- 4 service systems relevant to the Project area. Local goals, policies, and/or regulations
- 5 applicable to this issue area are listed below:
- 6 3.17.2.2 Local

### 7 City of Oakley

10

11

12

13

14

- 8 The following General Plan policies (City of Oakley 2010) have been adopted by the
- 9 City in regards to utilities and service systems and are applicable to the Project.
  - Policy 4.7.1: Promote the reduction of the amount of waste disposed of in landfills by 1) reducing the amount of solid waste generated (solid waste reduction); 2) reusing as much solid waste as possible (recycling); 3) utilizing the energy and nutrient value of the solid waste (waste to energy and composting); and 4) properly disposing of the remaining solid waste (landfill disposal).
- Policy 4.7.2: Support the diversion of as much waste as feasible from landfills
   through recycling and recovery.
- Policy 4.7.5: Consider solid waste disposal capacity in land use planning and permitting activities, along with other utility requirements, such as water and sewer services.
- Policy 4.7.9: Avoid solid waste hauling on collectors and local streets through residential areas.

## **3.17.3 Impact Analysis**

- 23 a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water
- 24 **Quality Control Board?**
- No Impact. No treatment of wastewater by a publically owned wastewater treatment
- 26 facility is required. No impact would result.
- 27 b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment
- 28 facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause
- 29 significant environmental effects?
- 30 **No Impact.** The Project is a decommissioning Project and would not introduce any new
- 31 facilities or personnel that would require water or wastewater treatment facilities. No
- 32 impact would result.

- 1 c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or
- 2 expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant
- 3 environmental effects?
- 4 **No Impact.** The Project would not create any new storm water sources, or require the
- 5 construction of new permanent storm water drainage facilities. Onshore Project
- 6 activities would be limited to the existing valve pit areas within Sacramento County (to
- 7 the north) and the City (to the south). No impact would result.
- 8 d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing
- 9 entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?
- 10 **No Impact.** Project activities would occur within onshore staging or work areas as well
- as on board Project vessels. Water required for personnel consumption and sanitary
- 12 purposes would be minimal. Supplies would be portable and brought onsite for the
- 13 duration of Project activities only. Following Project completion, no additional usage
- would be necessary. Local water supplies would not be affected. No new or expanded
- 15 entitlements would be needed. No impact would result.
- 16 e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or
- 17 may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's
- projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?
- 19 **No Impact.** The Project would not generate wastewater that would require treatment at
- 20 a wastewater service provider. No impact would result.
- 21 f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the
- 22 project's solid waste disposal needs?
- 23 **Less than Significant Impact.** Waste generated by the Project would include general
- 24 construction waste as well as the three pipelines. The pipelines are constructed of
- 25 seamless steel pipe with an outside diameter of 12.75 inches and coated with an
- 26 external anti-corrosive coating. The steel pipe and any associated debris would be
- 27 recycled to the extent feasible. However, if following pipeline removal, PG&E
- 28 determines that the steel pipeline or coating is not suitable for recycling, PG&E would
- 29 contract for disposal with approved vendors with the capacity and regulatory permitting
- 30 to receive the classifications of waste to be disposed (e.g., the Keller Canyon Landfill
- 31 located in Pittsburg, California). Please refer to Section 3.8, Hazards and Hazardous
- 32 Materials, for detail regarding the potential for hazardous wastes associated with Project
- decommissioning activities. A less than significant impact would result.

# g) Comply with Federal, State, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

- 3 Less than Significant Impact. The steel pipe and any associated debris would be
- 4 recycled to the extent feasible. Solid waste would be disposed of in accordance with
- 5 local, State and federal laws and regulations as required by the Project plans and
- 6 specifications. PG&E and its contractors would dispose of any and all hazardous waste,
- 7 should any be generated; through a permitted hazardous waste treatment, storage, or
- 8 disposal facility. Non-hazardous waste would be transported to the nearby landfill
- 9 facility. Please refer to Section 3.8, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, for detail
- 10 regarding the potential hazardous wastes associated with Project decommissioning
- 11 activities. A less than significant impact would result.

## 12 **3.17.4 Mitigation Summary**

- 13 The Project would not result in significant impacts to utilities and service systems;
- therefore, no mitigation is required.